IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

M.A.No. 236 /2002

APPELLANT : United India Insurance Company Ltd., Ambikapur,District-Sarguja, through Divisional Manager,Divisional Office, Korba(C.G.).

-Versus –

RESPONDENTS:

1. Kumal Sai,
S/o Bager Sai,
Aged about 28 years,
Occupation-Business
And agriculturist
R/o Village Salka,
Tehsil-Soorajpur,Distt. Sarguja
- Claimant-

2. Baba @ Rajeshwer,
S/o Narmada Prasad Yadav,
R/o Tikarapara, Prem Nagar,
Soorajpur, Sarguja(C.G.)
- Driver-

3. Ghanshyam Das Agarwal,
Aged about 35 years,
S/o Dhani Ram Agarwal,
R/o Prem Nagar, Soorajpur,
Sarguja(C.G.)
-Owner-

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 173 OF THE MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988.

Appeal valued at Rs. 12,000/-.

NOTARY
VIRENDRA NARAD
ADVOCATE
KORBA,
Regd. No. MP 06/
KORBA/414-98

XI-HC-22

(63)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक M.A.236/02 सन् 200—

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	22.03.2005: - Shri Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the appellant. The matter is listed on default for non-payment of fresh P.F. and correct address of respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Learned counsel for the appellant undertakes to remove the default within a week. Let that be done, failing which the appeal shall be liable to be dismissed without reference to the Bench. Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge	

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(64)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... M.A. 236/02 ..... **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
		11/05/05. M.A. No. 236/2002 (United India Insurance Company Ltd. Vs. Kumal Sai & others) was listed before Hon'ble court on 22.03.2005 and Hon'ble court granted one week's time to pay fresh P.F. with correct address to respondent No. 1 & 2, further directed that if default is not removed within a week, the appeal shall be dismissed without reference to the Bench. ~~Part~~ From the report of P.F. Clerk (kindly see Note Sheet part) it is apparent that the counsel for the appellant has paid fresh process fee on 30.3.2005, but as per court order dated 22.3.2005 fresh P.F. should have been paid on or before 29.3.2005. Thus, counsel has failed to comply with the Hon'ble court order dated 22.3.2005 in toto. In view of the above and per-emptory order dated 22.3.2005 the appeal stood dismissed without reference to the Bench. Counsel be informed accordingly. **Sd/-** **A.R (J)**

(पीछे देखिये)